## **REMARKS**

This is in response to the Office Action mailed on August 11, 2006. In the Office Action, claims 1-4 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting.

Reconsideration of this case is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

No claims have been amended, cancelled or added by this response. Accordingly, claims 1-4 remain at issue in the patent application.

Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by this response.

## I) DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION

Claims 1-4 were rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2-5, respectively, of the U.S. Patent No 6,717,924. [Office Action page 2].

Applicant herein submits a timely filed terminal disclaimer to overcome the rejection based on nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of the non-statutory double patenting rejection of claims 1-4.

Attorney Docket No.: 020168C1

Customer No.: 23696

**PATENT** 

REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that all pending claims in the application are

patentable. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of this application are earnestly

solicited. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the

undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 10, 2006

By: \_/Ramin Mobarhan/

Ramin Mobarhan, Reg. No. 50,182

(858) 658-2447

QUALCOMM Incorporated 5775 Morehouse Drive

San Diego, California 92121 Telephone: (858) 658-5102

Facsimile: (858) 658-2502

Attorney Docket No.: 020168C1

Customer No.: 23696

5